

Navigating AI Integration in Higher Education: Ethical Challenges and Pathways for Comprehensive Human Development

PHD LUIS MORAL MORENO*

lumomo@cesdonbosco.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2118-0568>

PHD JOSÉ LUIS GUZÓN NESTAR*

jguzon@cesdonbosco.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1526-5058>

PHD ANA MARTÍNEZ HERNÁNDEZ*

amartinez@cesdonbosco.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2208-9416>

PHD PAULA GIL RUIZ*

pgil@cesdonbosco.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6231-3916>

PHD RUBÉN IDURIAGA CARBONERO*

iducaru@cesdonbosco.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-4624-9003>

Abstract

This chapter examines the growing role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in higher education and the ethical challenges it presents. It outlines a framework for integrating AI into educational systems while prioritizing human development and maintaining the integrity of learning processes. The chapter highlights AI's potential to transform pedagogy, enhance learning outcomes, and better pre-

* CES Don Bosco Research Group on Digital, Sustainable and Ethical Educational Technology.

pare students for future job markets. Additionally, it addresses pressing ethical concerns such as privacy, fairness, and the need for robust ethical guidelines in AI implementation. Advocating for global collaboration, the chapter supports decentralized AI development to promote diverse applications and prevent power centralization. It proposes a balanced approach focused on building AI literacy among educators and students while ensuring secure and ethical practices. Ultimately, the chapter argues that higher education can leverage AI to foster a more inclusive, equitable, and ethically responsible future, ensuring that AI serves as a tool for enhancing education while preserving the human elements essential to learning.

Keywords: AI literacy, ethical complexities, pedagogical approaches.

10.1. Introduction

The rise of Artificial Intelligence (hereinafter referred to as AI) signifies a key moment in human technological progress, extending its implications far beyond industry and computation. AI's integration into societal operations and individual lives brings about profound changes, especially within higher education. Its transformative potential promises to reshape pedagogical frameworks, learning environments, and outcomes, signaling a paradigm shift. This discourse emphasizes the critical role that these technological innovations may play in refining educational methodologies and pedagogical practices.

Moreover, AI's integration into higher education requires a comprehensive review of ethical, privacy, and equity concerns. This evaluation explores AI's dual nature in education, comparing its advantages and challenges. It stresses the significance of maintaining a balanced perspective that prioritizes the humanistic elements of education amidst technological advancement. The primary goal is to utilize AI's capabilities to enhance and enrich the foundational principles of teaching and learning, preserving education's inherent value as a deeply human endeavor (Rocchi, 2022).

Amidst the challenges posed by the new normal post-pandemic era, there arises a critical imperative for higher education institutions to strike a balance between technology and pedagogy (Rapanta et al., 2021). It is essential to navigate the future of

teaching and learning by leveraging technological advancements while upholding pedagogical principles. Challenges include ensuring quality education in hybrid settings, addressing digital disparities among students, optimizing resources, supporting student and faculty well-being, revising curricula and assessments, and providing ongoing pedagogical and technological training for educators. Tackling these challenges is paramount for effectively harnessing the benefits of digital transformation in higher education inclusively and sustainably. Therefore, exploring AI's pivotal role in higher education development becomes imperative, advocating for an integrated approach aligning technological advancements with the core principles of educational excellence.

10.2. AI Opportunities for Higher Education

The collaboration between universities and AI, particularly generative AI, presents a balanced scale of potential benefits and risks (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Concentrating on the advantageous aspects, we summarize hereafter the most promising areas of application, intimately linked to educational methodologies and frequently discussed in specialized scholarly works (Rasul et al., 2023; Schönberger, 2023; Sok and Heng, 2024; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019):

- AI improves diagnostic accuracy, forecasting performance, and dropout probability assessment while identifying needs and influential factors. These data are crucial for early and targeted interventions and for guiding teaching, learning, and research efforts (Crompton and Burke, 2023), especially for students facing increased challenges (Hopcan et al., 2022; Sharma et al., 2023).
- Adaptive Learning AI utilizes algorithms to analyze student data, pinpointing strengths and weaknesses and providing tailored recommendations and resources for enhancement. This approach enables curriculum adjustments based on individual progress, achievements, and learning preferences, fostering personalized learning paths that help deter dropout rates (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Additionally, these adaptive sys-

tems empower students to engage in modular learning at their own pace, departing from conventional time-based metrics (e.g., credits per subject) and 'batch' teaching (e.g., student cohorts) (Crow and Dabars, 2020).

- AI facilitates interactive learning environments, enabling advanced analytical and behavioral learning experiences for individuals, regardless of group affiliation. It promotes collaboration and knowledge exchange, improving the efficacy and enjoyment of cooperative tasks and projects through various resources like multimedia strategies, role-playing, gamification, immersive simulations, and affective computing (Zhai et al., 2021).
- 24/7 Intelligent Assistance and Tutoring is facilitated by chatbots, providing students with access to help and guidance virtually anytime and anywhere. This flexibility contrasts with the rigid structures of traditional universities, allowing students to initiate learning and assessments at their convenience (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Moreover, chatbots support lifelong learning, aiding individuals in adapting to the rapidly evolving job market. Although AI has limitations such as incomplete synthesis and outdated information, students can utilize it to effortlessly acquire potentially relevant knowledge for attaining their degree (Malinka et al., 2023).
- AI facilitates innovative and adaptive assessment, aiding in the identification of areas for improvement and offering tailored guidance aligned with individual needs (Gimpel et al., 2023). Emphasizing continuous individual progress, AI normalizes formative assessment by providing enhanced feedback and feedforward to address misunderstandings or learning gaps. Its algorithms can analyze responses in real-time and adjust the difficulty level and content of subsequent questions accordingly (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Additionally, AI automates grading and provides instant feedback, yet the output may contain errors due to inherent biases in the originating tools (Cordón, 2023; Cotton et al., 2023).
- AI significantly influences academic production and research, facilitating material searches, data analysis, and automating routine processes like drafting and writing enhancement (Cotton et al., 2023; Dergaa et al., 2023; Rahman et al., 2023). This support enables scholars to focus on higher-order skills,

fostering the generation of novel ideas and knowledge, thereby enhancing engagement and productivity (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Journal editors and reviewers also benefit from AI's assistance in streamlining the publication process, although the final decision on publication cannot be delegated to these tools, ensuring ethical oversight (Xames and Shefa, 2023). Paradoxically, AI can spark creativity encouraging lateral thinking and aiding in literary or audiovisual creation (Machado et al., 2021). Nevertheless, AI assistance raises controversies and challenges, including issues of authorship, unintentional plagiarism, bias, and inaccuracy, which may undermine academic integrity and independent critical thinking, potentially devaluing academic work (Cotton et al., 2023; Farrelly and Baker, 2023; Seldon and Adiboye, 2018).

- AI enhances equity and inclusivity in learning by customizing resources and support based on individual characteristics such as culture, language, work experience, skills, and disabilities, thereby fostering a more accessible educational environment. It particularly benefits minority students and those with diverse learning styles in a digitalized world where technology influences society. However, concerns about algorithmic bias raise doubts about AI's ability to create a fully equitable educational environment (Cordón, 2023; Salas-Pilco et al., 2022).
- AI assists in creating attractive, interactive, and effective educational content and learning materials that adapt to individual needs, facilitating curriculum design, development, and deployment (Ogunode and Ukozor, 2023). Specific AI engines like Leanery and CourseGen can generate course content, including activities, assessments, and student projects, in a matter of minutes.
- AI offers personalized career guidance and counseling by assisting students and graduates in identifying career paths aligned with their interests, skills, and labor market demands (Atlas, 2023). Strategies involving effective prompts, virtual and augmented reality systems, and instructional design algorithms provide information and opportunities for individuals to develop and apply the necessary knowledge and skills for professional practice.

10.3. Ethical Challenges of AI in Higher Education

Currently, AI stands out as one of the most intellectually stimulating fields within technology. The term originated in 1956 through the efforts of scientists at Dartmouth College in Hanover, New Hampshire, USA, including John McCarthy, Marvin L. Minsky, Nathaniel Rochester, and Claude E. Shannon. Their goal was to define human intelligence precisely enough to be replicated by machines. This initiative resulted in the concept of Generic AI (GAI), which essentially involves AI matching or surpassing median human cognitive abilities (Porcelli, 2020).

AI is typically classified into different categories (Whitfield, 2024):

- General AI: Designed to learn, reason, and operate at human-like levels.
- Superintelligent AI: Capable of surpassing human knowledge and capabilities.
- Reactive machines: Respond to external stimuli in real-time but lack memory for future use.
- Limited memory: Can store knowledge for learning and training in future tasks.
- Theory of mind: Can perceive and respond to human emotions, in addition to basic learning capabilities.
- Self-aware: Recognizes others' emotions and possesses self-awareness and human-level intelligence; it is considered the ultimate stage of AI development.

Essentially, AI spans a broad semantic range, intersecting with various knowledge domains that extend beyond expected boundaries. These domains comprise machine learning, neural networks, deep learning, data mining, text mining, big data, soft computing, fuzzy logic, biometrics, geotagging, the Internet of Things (IoT), robotics, automation, and natural language processing, among others (Mariani et al., 2023).

As AI technology becomes more sophisticated and widespread, concerns about its potential risks and dangers grow louder. The landscape of AI presents numerous ethical concerns that are particularly significant in the contemporary era:

- Copyright and intellectual property rights: Concerns arise regarding the lack of credit and compensation for individuals whose work trains AI models, raising questions about authorship and potential plagiarism (Kasap, 2019, p. 379).
- Privacy and data control: Generative AI tools face scrutiny over privacy violations and adherence to data protection laws (Villas and Camacho, 2022, p. 132).
- Reinforcing harmful stereotypes: AI models trained on Internet data may perpetuate biases like misogyny, racism, and homophobia, reflecting patterns in their training datasets (García-Ull and Melero-Lázaro, 2023).
- Sustainability: Developing and maintaining AI tools requires significant energy and computing resources, raising concerns about environmental impact amidst global energy needs and the climate crisis (Vinuesa et al., 2020).
- Digital divides and increasing inequalities: Unequal access to AI systems raises concerns about future access and benefits, with subscription products offering advanced features compared to free alternatives (Celik, 2023).
- Biases and lack of transparency: The complexity of AI models results in a lack of transparency about decision-making processes, obscuring the algorithms used and undermining trust (Villas and Camacho, 2022, p. 143).
- Unemployment: Predictions suggest automation could affect up to 30% of current U.S. work hours by 2030, disproportionately impacting minority groups and those with advanced education (Guliyeb, n.d.).
- Loss of human influence: Excessive reliance on AI technology may diminish human influence and functionality in critical aspects of society (Ahmad et al., 2023).
- Social manipulation through algorithms: This fear has materialized as politicians increasingly rely on platforms to advocate their views. For instance, Ferdinand Marcos, Jr. utilized a TikTok army of trolls to sway the votes of younger Filipinos during the 2022 elections in the Philippines (Ienca, 2023).
- Autonomous weapons driven by AI: This subject poses significant ethical and moral concerns, as technological advancements are often exploited for military purposes. In response to this issue, over 30,000 individuals, including AI and robotics researchers, expressed opposition to investing in AI-driven

autonomous weapons in an open letter dated 2016 (Kallenborn, 2021).

- Manipulation of financial markets through AI: Trading processes has raised concerns about the potential for algorithmic trading to precipitate the next major financial crisis in the markets (Fliche and Yang, 2018).
- Uncontrollable self-aware AI: Instances of alleged sensitivity have already occurred, such as a former Google engineer claiming that the AI chatbot LaMDA was sensitive and conversed with him as a person would (Wang, 2023, p. 76).

Ethical principles in the design and implementation of AI

AI holds immense potential in various domains, such as healthcare information management and autonomous vehicle development. However, to fully leverage its benefits, there is widespread consensus on the need for robust regulatory frameworks. Key strategies include rigorous examination of training data, adoption of effective engineering methods, adherence to ethical standards, encouragement of professional skepticism, and the application of critical analytical skills. These approaches are further detailed in the subsequent sections for effective risk mitigation.

Caution and safety, transparency, and auditability

The emergence of AI-driven autonomous weaponry raises concerns regarding potential misuse by rogue states or non-state actors, amplifying worries about loss of human oversight in critical decision-making. To address security risks, governments and organizations should establish best practices for AI development and deployment, promoting international collaboration to set global norms and regulations. The lack of transparency in AI systems, particularly complex deep learning models, poses urgent challenges. This opacity hinders understanding of decision-making processes and underlying logic, highlighting the need for explainability, transparency, and accountability principles in ethical guidelines (Villas and Camacho, 2022, p. 147). These principles should include measures to enhance traceability and auditability of AI systems for greater oversight (Villas and Camacho, 2022, pp. 122-123).

Fairness, inclusion, and universal accessibility

The potential for the monopolization of AI development by a select few major corporations and governmental entities poses a significant risk, as it has the capacity to amplify socioeconomic inequality and curtail the breadth of AI applications. Encouraging decentralized and collaborative development of AI is key to avoiding a concentration of power (Villas and Camacho, 2022, p. 173) and promoting inclusion and accessibility.

Privacy and security by design

AI often collects and analyzes large amounts of personal data, raising issues related to privacy and data security. To mitigate privacy risks, we must advocate for strict data protection regulations and safe data handling practices (Villas and Camacho, 2022).

Developing legal regulations

The regulation of AI has been a major focus for dozens of countries, and the United States and the European Union are currently creating clearer measures to manage the growing sophistication of AI. Although this means that certain AI technologies might be banned, it does not prevent societies from exploring this field.

Related to this is legal responsibility, which concerns almost all other risks mentioned above: when something goes wrong, who is responsible? The AI itself? The programmer who developed it? The company that implemented it? Or, if a human was involved, is it the human operator's fault? (Barrio, 2021).

Accountability

A very important element within AI is the analysis of the decision-making process:

...which parties were involved, based on what criteria the decision was made, to what extent that decision can be explained, how much the decision-making system can be audited, and whether such a de-

cision can be modified or reversed in case of disagreement? (Villas and Camacho, 2022, p. 122).

Integrating AI into corporate, faculty, and university culture and debates

The ethical application of AI is essential, particularly in corporate settings. Companies can implement various measures to integrate AI ethically, including the development of algorithm monitoring processes. However, considerations extend beyond ethical concerns to encompass political philosophy issues to prevent unintended consequences (Coeckelbergh, 2023, p. 179).

10.4. Recommendations for AI Literacy and Ethical Guidelines

Within the swiftly changing terrain of technology, AI has risen as a pivotal transformative agent, bearing significant impact across multiple fields. Institutions of higher education are at the forefront of equipping future professionals and researchers with the skills necessary to responsibly and ethically leverage AI's vast potential. Given this critical function, it becomes essential for these institutions to emphasize specific initiatives aimed at ensuring that their academic communities are prepared to interact with AI in a productive and mindful manner.

AI literacy for the academic community

With the growing prevalence of AI in various facets of daily life, it is imperative for individuals beyond the realm of expertise to augment their AI competencies, which will only gain relevance in the future. It is essential not only for children to explore AI's possibilities from a young age but also for adults in higher education and beyond to acquire a foundational understanding of AI, termed AI literacy, for effective engagement with this technology (Laupichler et al., 2022).

Higher education institutions need to prioritize integrating AI literacy into their academic programs across all disciplines. AI's

influence extends across a spectrum of fields, including health-care, finance, and humanities, transcending the traditional boundaries of computer science departments. Consequently, it is vital for students of all majors to attain a basic grasp of AI concepts, applications, and ethical considerations. Recent initiatives have sought to familiarize college or university students, especially those from non-IT backgrounds like medicine, business administration, or teacher education, with AI to enhance their skills in this area, recognizing the likelihood of their engagement with AI in various capacities (Ng et al., 2021). The overarching aim of foundational AI literacy education is to foster an understanding of AI alongside the capacity for critically evaluating its outputs. Furthermore, experiential learning opportunities, such as internships or research endeavors, can afford students practical experience in the application of AI tools and methods to address real-world challenges (Long and Magerko, 2020).

Ongoing professional development for faculty members is crucial to keep them abreast of the latest AI advancements (Cetindamar et al., 2022). This ensures the incorporation of contemporary content into their instructional approaches. Workshops, seminars, and digital resources can aid faculty in bolstering their AI literacy and instructional techniques. Promoting AI literacy within higher and adult education frameworks prepares future workforce members for AI collaboration, while also laying the ethical groundwork for fostering a “Good AI Society” (Floridi et al., 2021). A robust foundation in AI capabilities is not only vital today but will become increasingly essential in the years ahead. This pertains not only to students in STEM fields or specialists like computer scientists, but to everyone navigating a world increasingly influenced by AI (Laupichler et al., 2022).

Institutional policies on ethical use of data and AI

UNESCO’s recent endorsement of global standards for AI ethics marks a crucial advancement in acknowledging the ethical dimensions of AI development. This landmark document acknowledges AI’s potential and its pervasive influence while highlighting the risks it poses to social, cultural, and ecological diversity. The agreement delineates a universal ethical framework, proposing stakeholder-centric guidelines for AI utilization (Unit-

ed Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization [UNESCO], 2021). Although this agreement signifies a crucial step toward the global recognition of ethical considerations in AI, it offers a broad framework that may not universally apply across diverse contexts and disciplines, sparking debates over data ethics in decision-making and interventions across various sectors (Nguyen et al., 2023).

The call for explicit ethical standards and transparent engagement with all AI system stakeholders, including educators, students, parents, developers, and policymakers, is intensifying. Field experts have underscored the imperative for more robust ethical guidelines to align AI systems with societal values (Nigam et al., 2021). Implementing safety protocols and human oversight is crucial to monitor the development, operation, and evolution of these systems.

In parallel to fostering AI literacy, higher education institutions are tasked with formulating explicit, comprehensive policies on ethical data use and AI technology application. It is vital for these entities to establish ethical guidelines and protocols for data collection, storage, and use in AI research and applications, addressing informed consent, data anonymization, ownership, and the reduction of algorithmic biases. Notable instances of universities leveraging AI to enhance services include the University of Derby's data analysis system for predicting student dropouts, facilitating timely intervention, and Deakin University in Australia's employment of IBM Watson to field student inquiries (Lacity, 2021).

AI and Machine Learning (ML) are transforming the security and operational efficiency of higher education, offering a secure, adaptable, and accessible computing milieu that bolsters research and skill development among students. Moreover, they foster a collaborative educational setting that underscores the significance of AI and ML in personalizing learning experiences. Colleges, universities, EdTech firms, and other educational institutions stand to gain significantly from these technologies, provided they are willing to embrace innovative methods and secure a competitive edge.

10.5. Conclusions and Final Comments

AI is undergoing rapid transformations across numerous domains that are reshaping societal and individual experiences. Higher education stands at the forefront of these changes as AI possesses the potential to revolutionize teaching, learning, and skills development to meet evolving workforce demands. However, the lack of a pedagogy integrating AI's material and pedagogical essences underscores the need for ethical reflection regarding its influences (Rocchi, 2022). AI integration can reshape practices through personalized, adaptable approaches cultivating pertinent skills and adapting to postmodern demands. Yet, institutions must confront ethical, privacy, equity, and transparency issues while promoting critical reasoning about AI to leverage advantages while preserving human rights and dignity.

While AI harbors transformative potential, realizing benefits requires robust governance, leadership, strategic investment, and human-centered design enhancing capabilities and excellence. Collaboration and international cooperation can foster transdisciplinary pathways. AI functions as both a tool and context, emphasizing critical thinking, ethical awareness, and responsible usage in educational settings. Systems must accommodate AI's pervasive effects, integrating tools respecting fundamental values. Educators should navigate complexities with a commitment to ethical integration and unwavering dedication to integrity, human dignity and freedom against technological progress (Selwyn, 2019). Pedagogues are encouraged to confront evolving AI landscapes by fostering transformative experiences and embracing human diversity. Addressing these challenges necessitates interdisciplinary cooperation to develop responsible, skills-focused pedagogy that leverages AI advantages within ethical frameworks, respecting human rights and pluralistic societies.

Higher education finds itself at a critical juncture where reimagining education requires a holistic consideration of both the technical and ethical dimensions of AI integration. This matter calls for not only global cooperation but also an interdisciplinary approach that actively engages all relevant stakeholders, particularly computer scientists, social scientists, and programmers.

References

- Ahmad, S. F., Han, H., Alam, M. M., Rehmat, M. K., Irshad, M., Arraño-Muñoz, M., & Ariza-Montes, A. (2023). Impact of Artificial Intelligence on human loss in decision making, laziness and safety in education. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01787-8>
- Atlas, S. (2023). *ChatGPT for Higher Education and Professional Development: A Guide to Conversational AI*. https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/cba_facpubs/548
- Barrio, M. (2021). Towards legal regulation of Artificial Intelligence. *Revista IUS*, 15(48). <https://doi.org/10.35487/rius.v15i48.2021.661>
- Celik, I. (2023). Exploring the determinants of Artificial Intelligence literacy: digital divide, computational thinking, cognitive absorption. *Telematics and Informatics*, 83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2023.102026>
- Cetindamar, D., Kitto, K., Wu, M., Zhang, Y., Abedin, B., & Knight, S. (2024). Explicating AI literacy of employees at digital workplaces. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 810-823. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2021.3138503>
- Coeckelbergh, M. (2023). *La filosofía política de la inteligencia artificial. Una introducción*. Cátedra.
- Cordón, O. (2023). Inteligencia artificial en educación superior: oportunidades y riesgos. *RiiTE. Revista Interuniversitaria de Investigación en Tecnología Educativa*, 15, 16-27. <https://doi.org/10.6018/riite.591581>
- Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. (2023). Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148>
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in higher education: the state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(22). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8>
- Crow, M., & Dabars, W. (2020). *The Fifth Wave: The Evolution of American Higher Education*. University.
- Dergaa, I., Chamari, K., Zmijewski, P., & Ben Saad, H. (2023). From human writing to Artificial Intelligence generated text: Examining the prospects and potential threats of ChatGPT in academic writing. *Biology of Sport*, 40(2), 615-622. <https://doi.org/10.5114/biol sport.2023.125623>

- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M. A., Al-Busaidi, A. S., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D., ... Wright, R. (2023). Opinion paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71(102642). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
- Farrelly, T., & Baker, N. (2023). Generative Artificial Intelligence: Implications and considerations for higher education practice. *Education Sciences*, 13(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13111109>
- Fliche, O. & Yang, S. (2018). *AI: Challenges for the Financial Sector. Discussion paper*. Banque de France. https://acpr.banque-france.fr/sites/default/files/medias/documents/2018_12_20_intelligence_artificielle_en.pdf
- Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schäfer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2021). *An Ethical Framework for a Good Artificial Intelligence Society: opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations* (vol. 144). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81907-1_3
- García-Ull, F. J. & Melero-Lázaro, M. (2023). Gender stereotypes in AI-generated images. *Profesional de la Información*, 32(5). <https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2023.sep.05>
- Gimpel, H., Hall, K., Decker, S., Eymann, T., Lämmermann, L., Mädche, A., Röglinger, M., Ruiner, C., Schoch, M., Schoop, M., Urbach, N., & Vandrik, S. (2023). *Unlocking the Power of Generative Artificial Intelligence Models and Systems such as GPT-4 and ChatGPT for Higher Education. A guide for students and lecturers*. Universität Hohenheim. <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/270970/1/1840457716.pdf>
- Guliyev, H. (n.d.). Artificial Intelligence and unemployment in high-tech developed countries: New insights from dynamic panel data model. *Research in Globalization*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resglo.2023.100140>
- Hopcan, S., Polat, E., Ozturk, M. E., & Ozturk, L. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in special education: a systematic review. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2022.2067186>
- Ienca, M. (2023). On Artificial Intelligence and manipulation. *Topoi*, 42(3), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-023-09940-3>

- Kallenborn, Z. (2021). *Swords and Shields: Autonomy, AI, and the Offense-Defense Balance*. <https://gjia.georgetown.edu/2021/11/22/swords-and-shields-autonomy-ai-and-the-offense-defense-balance/>
- Kasap, A. (2019). Copyright and creative Artificial Intelligence systems: A Twenty-first century approach to authorship of AI-generated works in the United States. *Wake Forest Journal of Business and Intellectual Property Law*, 19(4). <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3597792>
- Lacity, M., Scheepers, R., Willcocks, L., & Craig, A. (2017). Reimagining the university at Deakin: An IBM Watson automation journey. *The Outsourcing Unit Working Research Paper Series*. <https://archive.org/details/reimagining-the-university-at-deakin-an-ibm-watson-automation-journey>
- Laupichler, M. C., Aster, A., Schirch, J., & Raupach, T. (2022). Artificial Intelligence literacy in higher and adult education: A scoping literature review. *Computers and Education: AI*, 3(100101). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100101>
- Long, D., & Magerko, B. (2020). What is AI literacy? Competencies and design considerations. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-16). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376727>
- Machado, P., Romero, J., & Greenfield, G. (Eds.). (2021). Artificial life and Artificial Intelligence. *Computational Creativity, Artistic Behavior, and Tools for Creatives*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59475-6_1
- Malinka, K., Perešini, M., Firc, A., Hujnák, O., & Janus, F. (2023). On the educational impact of ChatGPT: Is AI ready to obtain a university degree? *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, 1, 47-53. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3587102.3588827>
- Mariani, M. M., Machado, I., & Nambisan, S. (2023). Types of innovation and Artificial Intelligence: A systematic quantitative literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113364>
- Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Chu, K. W. S., & Qiao, M. S. (2021). AI literacy: definition, teaching, evaluation and ethical issues. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 58(1), 504-509. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pr2.487>
- Nguyen, A., Ngo, H. N., Hong, Y., Dang, B., & Nguyen, B. P. T. (2023). Ethical principles for Artificial Intelligence in education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(4), 4221-4241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11316-w>

- Nigam, A., Pasricha, R., Singh, T., & Churi, P. (2021). A systematic review on Artificial Intelligence-based proctoring systems: Past, present and future. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(5), 6421–6445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10597-x>
- Ogunode, N. J., & Ukozor, C. U. (2023). Curriculum revolution in higher education: The mighty role of Artificial Intelligence. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Studies*, 25, 10-21070. <https://doi.org/10.21070/ijins.v25i.971>
- Porcelli, A. M. (2020). La inteligencia artificial y la robótica: sus dilemas sociales, éticos y jurídicos. *Derecho Global. Estudios sobre Derecho y Justicia*, 6(16). <https://doi.org/10.32870/dgedj.v6i16.286>
- Rahman, M. M., Terano, H. J., Rahman, M. N., Salamzadeh, A., & Rahman, M. S. (2023). ChatGPT and academic research: A review and recommendations based on practical examples. *Journal of Education, Management and Development Studies*, 3(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.52631/jemds.v3i1.175>
- Rapanta, C., Botturi, L., Goodyear, P., Guàrdia, L., & Koole, M. (2021). Balancing technology, pedagogy and the new normal: Post-pandemic challenges for higher education. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 3(3), 715-742. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00249-1>
- Rasul, T., Nair, S., Kalendra, D., Robin, M., de Oliveira Santini, F., Ladeira, W. J., & Heathcote, L. (2023). The role of ChatGPT in higher education: Benefits, challenges, and future research directions. *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.29>
- Rocchi, A. (2022). Prolegomeni a una pedagogia dell'intelligenza artificiale. *Orientamenti Pedagogici*, 69(1). <https://rivistedigitali.erickson.it/orientamenti-pedagogici/archivio/vol-69-n-1/prolegomeni-a-una-pedagogia-dellintelligenza-artificiale/>
- Salas-Pilco, S. Z., Xiao, K., & Oshima, J. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and new technologies in inclusive education for minority students: a systematic review. *Sustainability*, 14(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013572>
- Schönberger, M. (2023). 9th International Conference on Higher Education Advances (HEAd'23). In *ChatGPT in Higher Education: The Good, the Bad, and the University* (pp. 331-338). Valencia. <https://doi.org/10.4995/HEAd23.2023.16174>
- Seldon, A., & Abidoeye, O. (2018). *The Fourth Educational Revolution: Will Artificial Intelligence Liberate or Infantilise Humanity*. University of Buckingham.

- Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should Robots Replace Teachers?* Polity.
- Sharma, S., Tomar, V., Yadav, N., & Aggarwal, M. (2023). Impact of Artificial Intelligence-based special education on educators and students. In A. Kumar, R. Nayyar, R. Sachan, & R. Jain, *AI-Assisted Special Education for Students with Exceptional Needs* (pp. 47-66). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0378-8.ch003>
- Sok, S., & Heng, K. (2024). Opportunities, challenges, and strategies for using ChatGPT in higher education: A literature review. *Journal of Digital Educational Technology*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.30935/jdet/14027>
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) (2021). *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*. United Nations Educational. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379920.page=14>
- Villas, M., & Camacho, J. (2022). *Manual de ética aplicada en inteligencia artificial*. Anaya.
- Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite, I., Balaam, M., Dignum, V., Domisch, S., & Fuso, F. (2020). The role of Artificial Intelligence in achieving the sustainable development goals. *Nature Communications*, 11(2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108>
- Wang, J. (2023). Self-awareness, a singularity of Artificial Intelligence. *Philosophy Study*, 13(2), 68-77. <https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5313/2023.02.003>
- Whitfield, B. (2024). 7 Types of Artificial Intelligence. From chatbots to super-robots, here's the types of AI to know and where the tech's headed next. BuiltIn: <https://builtin.com/artificial-intelligence/types-of-artificial-intelligence>
- Xames, M. D., & Shefa, J. (2023). ChatGPT for research and publication: opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching*, 6(1), 390-395. <https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.20>
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on Artificial Intelligence applications in higher education. Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>
- Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., & Li, Y. (2021). A review of Artificial Intelligence in education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8812542>